

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                        | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.          | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| 09/889,372                             | 08/10/2001      | Jun Nakagawa         | 110106 2666<br>EXAMINER      |                  |
| 25944 7                                | 7590 09/08/2006 |                      |                              |                  |
| OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC                  |                 |                      | PAPPAS, PETER                |                  |
| P.O. BOX 19928<br>ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320 |                 |                      | ART UNIT                     | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |                 |                      | 2628 DATE MAILED: 09/08/2006 |                  |
|  |                 |                      |                              |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  |   | Application No.                | Applicant(s)               |  |  |
|--|---|--------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| All Participants:  (1) Peter-Anthony Pappas 2628  All Participants:  (1) Peter-Anthony Pappas.  (2) Randi B. Isaaes.  (3)  | Evaminar Initiated Intension Summan   | 09/889,372                     | NAKAGAWA, JUN              |  |  |
| All Participants:  (1) Peter-Anthony Pappas. (2) Randi B. Isaacs. (3)  | Examiner-initiated interview Summary  | Examiner                       | Art Unit                   |  |  |
| (2) Randi B. Isaacs (4)  Date of Interview: 5 September 2006 Time: Type of Interview: 6 Telephonic   |   | Peter-Anthony Pappas           | 2628                       |  |  |
| Canadi B. Isaacs.   Cana | Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>   |                                |                            |  |  |
| Date of Interview: 5 September 2006  Type of Interview:  | (1) Peter-Anthony Pappas.   | (3)                            |                            |  |  |
| Type of Interview:    Telephonic   Telephonic   Applicant   Applicant's representative)  | (2) Randi B. Isaacs.  | (4)                            |                            |  |  |
| Telephonic   Gresonal (Copy given to:   Applicant   Applicant's representative)  | Date of Interview: <u>5 September 2006</u>  | Time:                          |                            |  |  |
| Rejection(s) discussed:  Claims discussed:  Prior art documents discussed:  Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Applicant was informed an Office Action was mailed out in reply to the communication received on 6/19/06. The contents of said Office Action were discussed briefly.  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.   | <ul> <li>☑ Telephonic</li> <li>☑ Video Conference</li> <li>☑ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant</li> <li>☑ Applicant</li> <li>☑ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes</li> <li>☑ No</li> </ul> | ant's representative)          |                            |  |  |
| Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant was informed an Office Action was mailed out in reply to the communication received on 6/19/06. The contents of said Office Action were discussed briefly.  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.  | Part I.   |                                |                            |  |  |
| Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant was informed an Office Action was mailed out in reply to the communication received on 6/19/06. The contents of said Office Action were discussed briefly.  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.  | Rejection(s) discussed:   |                                |                            |  |  |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Applicant was informed an Office Action was mailed out in reply to the communication received on 6/19/06. The contents of said Office Action were discussed briefly.  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.   |   | ·                              |                            |  |  |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Applicant was informed an Office Action was mailed out in reply to the communication received on 6/19/06. The contents of said Office Action were discussed briefly.  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.   |   |                                |                            |  |  |
| Applicant was informed an Office Action was mailed out in reply to the communication received on 6/19/06. The contents of said Office Action were discussed briefly.  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.  | Part II.  |                                |                            |  |  |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.   | Applicant was informed an Office Action was mailed out in reply   |                                |                            |  |  |
| directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.   | Part III.   |                                |                            |  |  |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature – if appropriate)  | ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview.  |                                |                            |  |  |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature – if appropriate)  |   |                                |                            |  |  |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)   |   |                                |                            |  |  |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)   |   |                                |                            |  |  |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)   | $\cap$ $\wedge$   |                                |                            |  |  |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)   | 1 - Malla langua.   |                                |                            |  |  |
|  | (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant   | /Applicant's Representative Si | ignature – if appropriate) |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)